

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTONIVE	
09/848,833	05/04/2001	Robert Julian Smith	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
			99-068	7486
75	11/04/2004			
Jeffrey H. Ros	edale		EXAMINER	
ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY 100 Independence Mall West Philadelphia, PA 19106-2399			EGWIM, KELECHI CHIDI  ART UNIT PAPER MUMBER	
			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1713	
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004	
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/848,833	SMITH ET AL.	
omoc Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this	Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim	].	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a represent the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONEY	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely.	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	27 August 000 t		
2011YI This and a second			
==/	This action is non-final.		
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims</li> </ol>	owance except for formal matte er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4) $igtimes$ Claim(s) 1 and 3-12 is/are pending in the ap	pplication		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-11</u> is/are withdra	Wn from consideration		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	mem consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-8 and 12</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election require		
application Papers	ror election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or h) Objected to by the	<b>□</b>	
request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) he hold in about	- 0 0	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disa	poroved by the E	
in re	eply to this Office action	pproved by the Examiner.	
ine oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.		
iority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. s.44	0(-) (1) (6	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	p g 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
application from the International Pu	rity documents have been rece	eived in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list  4) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for demonstration.	or the certified copies not rece	ived.	
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic     a)      The translation of the foreign language are	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).	
<ul> <li>a)    ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>5)    ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic chment(s)</li> </ul>			
chment(s)	to priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1	20 and/or 121.	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Pate PTOL-

Application/Control Number: 09/848,833

Art Unit: 1713

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims 9-11, drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 041003. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant originally filed discussion is silent with regards to the newly added negative limitation requiring that "the oxidizing agent is not added continuously", and further (new claim 12) requiring that the redox system be premixed with a mixture of iron and copper species. Applicant has failed to point out where such limitations were originally described or stated.

Application/Control Number: 09/848,833

Art Unit: 1713

Page 3

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauer et al., for reasons cited in the previous Office action.

### Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 08/27/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 7. Applicant's arguments are based on a new negative limitation that is not supported by the originally filed specification.
- 8. It is still reasonable that the product of Bauer et al. would possess the presently claimed molecular weights since the process steps are essentially the same as in the present claims and the USPTO does not have at its disposal the tools or facilities deemed necessary to make physical determinations of the sort. In any event, an otherwise old product is not patentable regardless of any new or unexpected properties.

Application/Control Number: 09/848,833

Art Unit: 1713

In re Fitzgerald et al , 619 F.2d 67, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980). See MPEP § 2112 - § 2112.02.

Even if assuming that the prior art reference does not meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 102, it would still have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to arrive at the same inventive process because the disclosure of the inventive subject matter appears within the generic disclosure of the prior art.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1713

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim whose telephone number is (571) 272-1099. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T (7:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**KCE** 

KELECHI C. EGWIM PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER